

Specimen coursework assignment and answer

992 Risk management in insurance

The specimen coursework assignment and answer provides a guide as to the style and format of coursework questions. These examples indicate the depth and breadth of answers sought by CII markers.

The answer given is not intended to be the definitive answer. Well-reasoned alternative answers can also gain marks.

Before commencing work on your coursework assignment, you need to familiarise yourself with the information in the *Coursework Support Centre*.



Contents

Coursework submission rules and important notes	3	
Top tips for answering coursework questions	3	
Specimen coursework assignment and answer	4	
Glossary of key words	.15	



Coursework submission rules and important notes

Before you start your assignment, it is essential that you familiarise yourself with the information in the *Coursework Support Centre*.

Please note the following information:

- This assignment must not be provided to, or discussed with, any other person regardless of whether they are another candidate or not. If you are found to have breached this rule, disciplinary action may be taken against you.
- Important rules relating to referencing all sources including the study text, regulations and citing statute and case law.
- All material taken from study texts and websites (or anywhere else) should be in *italics* so that it is clear you are not passing it off as your own. Whenever material that is not your own is used, please cite where it was sourced from in brackets.
- Penalties for contravention of the rules relating to plagiarism and collaboration.
- You must not use Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools to generate content (any part of an assignment response) and submit it as if it was your own work.
- Coursework marking criteria applied by markers to submitted answers.
- Deadlines for submission of coursework answers.
- You must not include your name or CII PIN anywhere in your answer.
- There are 80 marks available per coursework assignment. You must obtain a minimum of 40 marks (50%) per coursework assignment to achieve a pass.
- Your answer must be submitted on the correct answer template in Arial font, size 11.
- Each assignment answer should be a maximum of 3,200 words. The word count does not include labels and headings however, it does include text and numbers contained within any tables or diagrams you choose to use. The word count does not include referencing or supplementary material in appendices. Please be aware that at the point an assignment answer exceeds the word count by more than 10% the examiner will stop marking.

Top tips for answering coursework assignments

- Read the 992 Specimen coursework assignment and answer, available on the unit webpage.
- Read the assignments carefully and ensure you answer all parts of the assignments.
- For assignments relating to regulation and law, knowledge of the UK regulatory framework is appropriate.
- There is no minimum word requirement, but an answer with fewer than 2,800 words may be insufficiently comprehensive.



Specimen coursework assignment and answer

You are the recently recruited Head of Risk Management for a large UK-based general insurer FXT plc that underwrites business on an international basis. This position has been newly established.

FXT plc has grown significantly over the past decade. This growth has been achieved through the acquisition of both UK and international insurers. This rapid growth has resulted in a lack of coherent risk management processes and systems.

The Board believes that good risk management will enhance the business, both from a risk management and profitability perspective. Having completed a high-level review, the Board have established the following three main concerns:

- Methods of distribution
- Appropriate economic capital across products and international territories.
- Product consistency across the international markets.

Currently, FXT plc does not have a coherent Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework in place. Its risks are managed within each individual department, with each department independently implementing its own risk management solutions. This has made group-level reporting and oversight very difficult.

- Explain, with justification, a suitable ERM framework for FXT plc.
- Analyse **five** potential costs and **five** potential benefits that may be associated with the implementation of this ERM framework.
- Make recommendations, based on your analysis, to minimise the costs and maximise the benefits for FXT plc of implementing the ERM framework.

To be completed before submission:

Word count:	3103		

Start typing your answer here:

EXPLANATION OF A SUITABLE ERM FRAMEWORK FOR FXT PLC

Definition of ERM

The Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Tredway Commission (COSO), in 2017 issued the most recent version of its ERM Framework as reported in its 2023 ICSR Report and defined an ERM Framework as one that "... promotes a strategic approach to risk management that includes governance, oversight, and processes for identifying, assessing and managing risk across an organisation." (COSO 2023).

COSO's definition of an ERM framework highlights the following fundamental concepts:

- A process, ongoing and flowing through an entity.
- Effected by people across an organisation.



- Applied in a strategic manner.
- Designed to identify potential risks.

A cross-enterprise strategy (which is what an ERM is in essence) is being adopted by more and more companies that link risk management with governance and compliance. This is a very suitable approach for an insurer such as FXT plc:

"Many organisations are taking steps to bring together the risk assessment under the umbrella of governance, risk and compliance (GRC)" Butterworth (2023).

In other words, it would benefit FXT plc to have a consistent set of risk management procedures and processes, including a joined-up approach to reporting and communicating risk management information. This may sound like a fundamental change for FXT plc requiring lengthy consultation and implementation. However, there are internationally recognised risk management standards which have already been developed and have been or are being adopted by its competitors. FXT plc can therefore research and then implement a readily available and suitable ERM framework.

Risk management and the evolution of ERM frameworks

There is no doubt that risk management has an increasingly significant role to play in the management of all organisations and in particular, financial organisations such as FXT plc. One good definition of risk management is: -

"Risk management is the systematic process of identifying, assessing and mitigating threats or uncertainties that can affect your organization. It involves analysing risk likelihood and impact, developing strategies to minimise harm and monitoring measures' effectiveness. ". Gibson (2023).

As the profile of risk management increases ERM has emerged as a key business tool for risk managers.

According to Phil Griffiths:

"Risk Management has become a vital ingredient in the entrepreneurial culture and is needed to develop, expand, and improve business performance. There is clear evidence that good risk management adds considerable value to the business." (Phil Griffiths, 2012)

The management of risk at FXT plc is dealt with at present on a departmental basis. The key benefit of implementing an ERM framework in the future will be to provide a central focus across FXT plc.

Available Risk Management Standards

To standardise the approach taken by organisations, various risk management standards have been published by risk management bodies and national/international working groups.



Three of the key risk management standards, one of which could be applied by FXT plc, are:

- The International Organisation for Standardization (ISO). ISO 31000, as published in 2009.
- COSO's 'Enterprise Risk Management Integrated Framework'.
- The Institute of Risk Management (IRM) Standard (2002).

In the context of FXT plc, which is UK-based but with international operations, ISO 31000 is likely to be the most suitable and appropriate standard.

According to the Risk Management CII study text, ISO 31000 sets the risk management framework in the context of the firm- Butterworth (2023). Therefore, this is a true ERM approach, as opposed to a more risk management-specific approach. ISO 31000 is an internationally recognised standard that can readily be used for FXT plc's international operations.

The adoption of this ISO 31000 standard should importantly address the Board's three main stated concerns of methods of distribution, appropriate economic capital across products and international territories, and product consistency across international markets.

This standard suggests that the strategic context, the organisational context, and the risk management context must **all** be considered together for risk assessment to be properly analysed.

ISO 31000: 2018 includes the essential steps in the implementation and ongoing support of the risk management process. It, ISO 31000, "provides direction on how companies can integrate risk-based decision making into an organization's governance, planning, management, reporting, policies, values, and culture. It is an open, principles-based system, meaning it enables organizations to apply the principles in the standard to the organizational context." IOS (2018).

All these will be of considerable benefit and of particular relevance to FXT plc given the exact nature of its business operations and activities.


Elements of the ERM

ISO 31000 describes the components of a risk management framework as follows:

- Design Framework
- Implement Risk Management
- Monitor and Review Framework
- Improve Framework

The diagram below illustrates the cyclical approach to ERM framework design proposed by ISO 31000:





Source: Butterworth, (2023).

Before the process begins, it is essential to ensure there is agreement at the board level, with the achievement of a mandate and commitment at that level. The key here is to ensure that FXT plc's strategic goals are included at the design stage. The justification for obtaining board-level approval is to ensure that there is sufficient board-level support for ERM. This should ensure that ERM is embedded in FXT plc from the top down, that ERM is properly communicated and that the costs associated with implementing the framework are signed off and fully authorised.

According to the Institute of Risk Management (IRM):

"ISO 31000 describes a framework for implementing risk management, rather than a framework for supporting the risk management process." IRM, (2010)

This is of fundamental importance as it means that the proposed risk management framework will provide the high-level detail of how the framework can support risk management in FXT plc, rather than more of a detailed description of the risk management process.

It is important that framework design is considered an ongoing, ever-evolving process. Through monitoring of the risk management process, FXT plc will be aware if changes need to be made. Also, its strategy and attitude to risk, the risk architecture, might change. The framework can always be improved by amending its protocols, methods of communication or the risk management process itself. It is this adaptability that provides and delivers many



of the benefits that will be analysed below.

The justification for doing this is that FXT plc should always strive to manage its risks better, to avoid the possibility of financial or reputational damage. It can improve the framework by identifying what its competitors do well and avoid a repeat of their mistakes.

The description of a suitable ERM framework, and its four components, pre-supposes that everyone involved in its adoption in FXT plc understands the benefits. There are, however, costs as well as benefits. The next section of this assignment analyses the five most significant costs and five most significant benefits to the business before making recommendations.

ANALYSIS: FIVE POTENTIAL COSTS

There are many costs associated with the implementation of an ERM. The most obvious is the financial cost and management time invested. However, there are other costs beyond the financial which may be harder to evaluate. Paul Klumpes makes the point that:

"...UK Insurance firms focusing on accounting rates of return (such as return on equity ROE) tend to use different approaches to managing risk for performance reporting than for management planning and control." (Klumpes, 2012)

The true costs financial and otherwise of implementing an appropriate ERM framework are hard to define. It is suggested though that the five most significant costs for FXT plc are as follows:

- 1. The cost of obtaining management support.
- 2. Set up challenges.
- 3. Defining a common risk language.
- 4. Sourcing appropriate data.
- 5. Additional training.

There are a range of other costs, but these are the most significant and will need to be addressed by FXT plc before the ERM framework can be implemented. Each of the five significant costs are analysed in more detail below:

1. The cost of obtaining management support

If the ERM framework is to be successfully implemented managerial support will be required. Risk management culture is set at the board level and the tone is communicated from the top down. The Board need to agree on the ERM framework design and how it should be implemented. Staff at all levels should understand the priority given to risk management within the organisation. Strong leadership will be required at all levels.

In addition to the board, the support of senior management at the divisional and departmental levels should also be obtained prior to implementation. FXT plc has grown significantly over the last ten years through the acquisition of several different companies, many of which are based in overseas territories. Each separate business has been responsible for its own risk



management and group reporting. If the ERM framework is imposed on FXT plc without wider management support is unlikely to be successful. Risks need to be owned by all departments, or the ERM will not succeed at the implementation level, let alone on an ongoing basis.

2. Set up challenges

Setting up new procedures and processes will be expensive in the short term. Systems setup costs in terms of compatibility and IT will be high. Staff who take on new roles and responsibilities may have to be trained, or even new roles created. A new risk management policy will need to be created. Risk identification, prioritisation and reporting will need new processes and procedures. Uniformity across different departments and territories will take time to bed in. All these set-up challenges can be overcome by FXT plc in the medium term, but initial costs may be high and setting up may need to take priority over other work.

3. Defining a common risk language

Perhaps because of the speed with which risk management has evolved as a profession rather than just an activity, the language of risk management has become complex and quite diverse. Common terminology is unlikely to exist between organisations, let alone businesses operating in different territories. The creation of a common risk management terminology will take an initial investment in both time and money, as common policies and procedures are established and put in place. Every employee will need to understand the language used; this is particularly important when establishing a common FXT plc group risk-reporting procedure.

4. <u>Sourcing Appropriate Risk Data</u>

Adopting an ERM framework means sourcing appropriate risk data which can be identified, analysed, and evaluated. This may mean that new systems will need to be purchased and new staff employed or trained. There are associated opportunity costs when employees spend time on ERM and away from their core roles.

This may cause friction within the FXT plc workforce as staff are given additional responsibilities without understanding why. The ERM framework must be integrated with existing procedures and operations or it will become too much of a burden. The more simplified it can be the more likely it is to be successfully implemented and used on an ongoing basis.

5. Additional Training

FXT plc has not had an ERM framework before so significant changes to the way staff operate may need to be implemented. Successful implementation will be where *all* staff adopt the new procedures and policies. Therefore, additional training will be required at a monetary cost and in terms of staff and management time.

There will always be a cost to any new activity in FXT plc. However, a coherent approach to risk management will surely outweigh the costs.



In the next section, we will analyse the benefits to FXT plc of implementing an appropriate ERM framework.

ANALYSIS: FIVE POTENTIAL BENEFITS

There are many significant benefits to FXT plc of implementing an appropriate ERM framework. These benefits need to be clearly communicated to staff at all levels. As with the costs, there are a number of benefits, but the five most significant are: -

- 1. Assisting FXT plc with structural and strategic changes.
- 2. Identifying specific action steps.
- 3. Helping FXT plc to shift its focus.
- 4. Reducing unacceptable performance variability.
- 5. Aligning and integrating the various views of risk management.

These benefits will be analysed below.

1. Assisting FXT plc with structural and strategic changes

FXT plc is diverse in terms of its different business functions. A coherent ERM framework will be a powerful tool for management in terms of creating risk awareness, enhancing operational effectiveness, and establishing the strategy. The ERM framework will help to focus the alignment of business strategy with corporate culture and provide a management tool to adjust strategy where required by understanding the risks which operate within the business as a whole. An effective ERM framework will identify where structural and strategic changes are required before they have an adverse impact.

2. Identifying specific action steps

The term risk optimisation describes a business which is aware of its risks and operates in an environment where it eliminates or minimises those risks which adversely affect business while enhancing business performance. Understanding the risks and the impact they may have on FXT plc allows FXT plc to identify specific action steps and take action where it has the greatest impact. In short, an ERM framework will allow FXT plc to operate with eyes 'wide open.

3. Helping FXT plc to shift its focus

An ERM framework will provide a better understanding of the risks inherent in the business. This knowledge helps to enhance FXT plc's decision-making at both the operational and strategic levels. From day-to-day crisis response, to the evaluation of risk in its business strategy, an ERM framework will help FXT plc to shift its focus from its usual tasks to enhance decision-making at every level. Decisions are more effective when taken from a position of knowledge.

4. <u>Reducing unacceptable performance variability</u>

ERM provides an effective method of evaluating the likelihood and impact of major events and assists in the development of appropriate responses to prevent their occurrence. At the very



least it can control the impact of major events in FXT plc's favour, leading to more consistent performance and the occurrence of unacceptable variance practice. ERM can also assist in crisis management and business continuity planning at all levels.

5. Aligning and integrating varying views of risk management

At present risk management is being dealt with on a fragmented basis within each department. ERM provides an organisation-wide approach that is more cost-effective (as it eliminates duplication) and also leads to an integrated response to risk. It encompasses all areas of organisational exposure to risk. It also allows FXT plc to consider the aggregation of risks.

There are benefits to all parts of the business in adopting an effective ERM framework. In the next section, recommendations are provided to minimise the costs while maximising the benefits.

RECOMMENDATIONS TO MINIMISE THE COSTS AND MAXIMISE THE BENEFITS FOR FXT PLC

Having analysed both the costs and the benefits, these recommendations follow on from the analysis. The costs as stated are not purely financial, so it is possible to minimise the negative impact without just 'throwing money at it'.

Obtaining management support

Management support can be obtained by clearly communicating the benefits across FXT plc of implementing the ERM framework. The recommendations made are to gain the support of the board as a first step. Once this has been obtained a clear message can be communicated to all departments and divisions across the whole of FXT plc explaining what ERM is, what the benefits are and what the commitment will be. It is essential that this message is communicated from the top and that the culture of risk management is established at the board level.

Maintaining support

As a second step, it is recommended that all departments and divisions are consulted at the ERM framework design stage to get their input and buy-in. A working party or committee can be established to act as a steering group during implementation. Members of the group should include a cross-section of staff from FXT plc's business as a whole rather than just being risk management staff. This ensures that the diverse risks from all of the operations are included in the implementation and ongoing operation of the risk management process.

Common risk language

The third recommendation would be to create a common risk language when producing the procedures and processes and communicating a clear and consistent message through the steering group/risk committee. The ownership for the central procedures would be held at the Head of Risk Management level, but distributed to all departments and the content of all group communications would follow a similar format.



FXT plc could look to professional groups such as the Association of Insurance and Risk Managers) AIRMIC (2024) the Institute of Risk Management, IRM (2024)) the International Federation of Risk and Insurance Management Federations IFRIMA(2024), the Global Association of Risk Professionals GARP (2024) and the Chartered Insurance Institute CII (2024) for guidance on industry-wide standards, for use of a common risk language and for the adoption of the recognised industry standard, ISO 31000. Staff involved in risk management should seek to obtain one of the increasingly available professional qualifications in this field.

Sensitive integration

Where possible, existing systems as well as current policies and procedures should be adopted and utilised rather than purchasing new systems. This also reduces the drain on IT. In the same way, it should be possible to train existing staff rather than hire new staff. It is accepted that, at some point, it will make better sense from both a financial and management time perspective to purchase new systems. However, the key to an effective ERM framework is to win staff over, establish new procedures and policies and be joined up in terms of FXT plc's risk language and its operational procedures.

Ongoing management

Designing an ERM framework using ISO 31000 should not be seen as an isolated, one-off, exercise. Instead, ERM is a continually evolving and changing process. The risk management process needs to be monitored, and assessed and then the identified improvements adopted accordingly. Furthermore, it is essential that senior management support the process and that all staff are engaged in adopting the new practices and taking on additional responsibility.

In conclusion, there are challenges and costs associated with the implementation of the framework. These should be understood and addressed during the design phase to ensure that funds are allocated accordingly, senior management and staff are briefed, and expectations are managed.

It is important that FXT plc takes every step to ensure it recognises all types of risks, minimises their impact, or even see them as new opportunities. ERM will help FXT plc to focus its efforts on collective risk management and for all to take ownership. However, ERM does have its costs and limitations and these must also be understood.



Reference list

Books:

• Butterworth, M (2023) Advanced Diploma in Insurance: 992 Risk management in insurance, The Chartered Insurance Institute, London UK.

Journals, Reports and Surveys:

- AIRMIC News "Risk profession on the Rise" (Oct 2015)
- Casualty Actuarial Society "Overview of Enterprise Risk Management" (May 2003)
- Paul Klumpes "The Actuary" (Mar 2012)

Internet Sites:

- IRM "A structured approach to Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) and the requirements of ISO31000" (2010) [online] available at <u>https://www.ferma.eu/app/uploads/2011/10/a-structured-approach-to-erm.pdf</u> [Accessed 4 January 2024]
- COSO, ACHIEVING EFFECTIVE INTERNAL CONTROL
- OVER SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING (ICSR): Building Trust and Confidence through the COSO Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2023), [online], available at: <u>https://www.coso.org/_files/ugd/3059fc_a3a66be7a48c47e1a285cef0b1f64c92.pdf</u> [Accessed Jan 4 2024]
- Phil Griffiths FCA "Enterprise Risk Management The Key to Business Success" (2012) [online], available at: <u>https://online.hbs.edu/blog/post/risk-management</u> [Accessed 4 January 2024]
- International Organisation for Standardisation, (2018), ISO 31000 Risk Management, [online] available at: <u>https://www.iso.org/files/live/sites/isoorg/files/store/en/PUB100426.pdf</u> [Accessed 4 January 2024]
- AIRMIC (2024) [online] available at: <u>https://www.airmic.com/</u> [Accessed 4 January 2024]
- The Institute of Risk Management (2024) [online] available at https://www.theirm.org/ [Accessed 4 January 2024]



- The International Federation of Risk and Insurance Management Federations (2024) [online]available at: <u>https://www.ifrima.org/#:~:text=The%20International%20Federation%20of%20Risk,3</u> <u>0%20countries%20around%20the%20world.</u>[Accessed January 4 2024]
- The Global Association of Risk Professionals (2024) [online] available at https://www.garp.org/ Accessed 4 January 2024]
- The Chartered Insurance Institute (2024) [online] available at: <u>https://www.cii.co.uk/</u> [Accessed 4 January 2024]
- Harvard Business School Online (2023) Gibson K. What Is Risk Management & Why Is it Important [online] available at <u>https://online.hbs.edu/blog/post/risk-management</u> [Accessed 4 January 2024]



Glossary of key words

<u>Analyse</u>

Find the relevant facts and examine these in depth. Examine the relationship between various facts and make conclusions or recommendations.

Construct

To build or make something; construct a table.

Describe

Give an account in words (someone or something) including all relevant characteristics, qualities or events.

<u>Devise</u>

To plan or create a method, procedure or system.

Discuss

To consider something in detail; examining the different ideas and opinions about something, for example to weigh up alternative views.

Explain

To make something clear and easy to understand with reasoning and/or justification.

Identify

Recognise and name.

<u>Justify</u>

Support an argument or conclusion. Prove or show grounds for a decision.

<u>Outline</u>

Give a general description briefly showing the essential features.

Recommend with reasons

Provide reasons in favour.

<u>State</u>

Express main points in brief, clear form.