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Summary

- Today’s states are confronted with the challenge of promoting sustainable energies to mitigate rising Sovereign debt, high youth and long-term under-employment, ageing populations, and climate change to name but a few.

- Industrial economies are being confronted with saturated markets for many goods, rising resource prices for materials and energies, a lack of qualified young people and the challenge of competitors in the emerging economies such as China.

- This article promotes a circular economy focused on maintaining the performance, value and quality of exiting stock, in synergy with manufacturing innovative new systems. It is about making the best use of human labour and of a non-taxation of all renewable resources including work.

- A circular economy is a low-carbon, low-resource alternative to the industrial throughput economy, based on the smart management of existing stocks of physical assets with a focus on the utilisation (performance) of goods and infrastructure, in a regional economy of value-preserving loops.

- For the financial services industries, this means paying as much attention to the operation and maintenance of physical assets (stock) through re-use and service-life extension strategies. For example, reflecting added value from manufacturing, and to properly value such life-cycle investment opportunities as Private Finance Initiatives with respect to income guarantee and resource security.

- Such a strategy means new opportunities in the preservation of physical assets over longer periods of time and in loss prevention, as well as new challenges in insuring the utilisation value of goods instead of the residual value. It is about exploiting the chances of the circular economy, one that for example in loss settlements embraces “repair” instead of “replace”.
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CII Introduction: In the current landscape where governments across the industrialised world are juggling fiscal austerity with supporting welfare, the idea of reducing taxes to favour an environmentally sustainable circular economy might seem less relevant. However as Walter Stahel of The Geneva Association demonstrates in this article, sustainable taxation is in fact most relevant to the present situation. He argues that we might be in a once in a generation opportunity to fundamentally re-examine our taxation policies to promote an economy that supports its most important resource: its labour.

Sustainability is built on economic, ecologic, social and cultural pillars and constitutes a dynamic balancing by society in exploiting the environment, creating economic wealth and fulfilling social needs.

Most political actions to increase sustainability in industrial countries have focused on isolated issues linked to health and safety, international development, or the environment, such as the prevention of accidents, the conservation of natural capital, or the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

This paper proposes a solution which creates synergies between the social, economic and environmental pillars of sustainability. The suggested policy change—exempting all renewable resources from taxation—represents a huge lever for a holistic change towards a more sustainable economy.

The intelligent use of human labour has traditionally been discouraged through taxation, whereas the waste of it has been encouraged through welfare.

The result will be a circular economy for physical goods and human labour. It is focused on maintaining the performance, value and quality of existing stock, in synergy with manufacturing innovative new systems. It also involves the best use of human labour and of a non-taxation of all renewable resources including work. The impact will be higher resource efficiencies in producing wealth and welfare.

In reading such ideas, one should avoid mental filters like ‘we have never done things this way’ in favour of a more common sense approach that considers future generations. ‘Eating people is wrong’ is a statement which most people will support and adhere to; and ‘taxing renewable energies is wrong’ will sound equally right for most people.

However, many governments subsidise such renewable resources as biomass, solar and wind energy. Yet the efficient use of human labour has traditionally been discouraged through taxation; whereas the waste of it has been encouraged through subsidy (such as the welfare state). A policy change to appropriate sustainable taxation is the logical next step.

A need for a policy change to appropriate sustainable taxation

Taxation should reward desired developments and discourage unwanted effects of activities. The principles of sustainable taxation should be simple and convincing, such as the following proposal.

In a sustainable economy, taxes on renewable resources including work—human labour—are in fact counterproductive and should be re-thought. The resulting loss of state revenue could be compensated by taxing the consumption of non-renewable resources in the form of materials and energies, and of undesired wastes and emissions. Such a shift in taxation would promote and reward a circular economy with its local low-carbon and low-resource solutions. These are inherently more labour-intensive than manufacturing, because economies of scale in a circular economy are limited.

A circular economy increases employment because less than a quarter of the labour input to produce a physical good is engaged in the fabrication of basic raw materials such as cement, steel, glass and resins, while more than three quarter are in the manufacturing phase. The reverse is true for energy inputs: three times as much energy is used to extract virgin or primary materials as is used to manufacture products from these materials. The reuse of components and goods (through remarketing, repair, remanufacturing, technologic upgrading) instead of manufacturing new ones therefore uses considerably less energy and provides more jobs to fulfil a given need.1

---

The new policy needs new metrics, comparing resource consumption, value creation and labour input, to monitor its success. Value per weight and labour input per weight.

The policy change in taxation will result in a circular economy

Changing the tax focus will in itself foster the transition to a more sustainable economy in terms of both energy and materials:

- **Energy**: taxing non-renewable energy consumption instead of labour would promote a circular regional economy over a linear global one. Reuse activity is less energy consuming than manufacturing, and requires considerably less transport distances.

- **Material**: taxing the consumption of non-renewable materials instead of labour promotes local reuse of goods, components and molecules and reduces end-of-life waste volumes. The competitiveness of material-preserving business models would thus increase automatically.

To summarise, a shift in taxation from labour to non-renewable resources would reinforce the emerging trend towards a circular economy based on stock management instead of throughput.

To summarise, a shift in taxation from labour to non-renewable resources will reinforce the emerging trend towards a circular economy based on stock management instead of throughput. This is especially with regard to the material (physical) part of the economy, without hindering the development of a knowledge economy.

Such a trend is already fuelled by developments in markets, legislation and resource scarcity:

- **Market saturation**: With consumer goods markets such as cars, mobile phones and electronics moving from scarcity to abundance in industrial economies, rates of production and scrapping are equalising. More production no longer increases welfare.

- **Re-marketing**: The last decade has seen the development of a new industry of re-selling goods through web-based second-hand markets. Some companies have also started doing this, for example Lufthansa advertises used aircraft seats for sale in its in-flight magazines. “Caring” increasingly influences producer and consumer attitudes in the circular economy, partly replacing “Fashion” as the driver of the consumer society.

- **Business models to exploit the potential of locally available resources, both human work and skills and such physical assets as buildings and equipment will boost local economic opportunities, many of which are already competitive with global manufacturing activities.**

- **Capital Goods**: Retrofitting (technological updating) of existing plants and infrastructure is cheaper and quicker than building new ones, and promoted by many manufacturers.

- **Legislation**: The 2008 European waste directive has reconfirmed waste prevention as first priority, and has defined the re-use and service-life extension of goods (i.e. a circular economy) as the main strategies to achieve waste prevention. China put a Circular Economy Law into force in 2008.

- **Material scarcity**: Many innovative technologies in IT and clean energy use rare earths elements (REE) in nanotechnology applications where molecules cannot be recovered economically through recycling. Component reuse may then be the only or most feasible option. Rising energy and material prices enable economic actors to gain a competitive advantage by preserving the embodied resources through reuse.

Value preservation and stock optimisation thus become a second economic policy in addition to the industrial economy’s creation of value added through production flow optimisation. A circular economy which allows maintaining the performance and value of manufactured capital will become the most profitable option for many types of goods.

---

2 Value per weight (€/kg) and labour input-per weight (manhour/kg) are the absolute indicators which the author proposes in his book the Performance Economy.
In a sustainable economy, a better use of existing wealth will become the preferred option for economic reasons; the environmental benefits are a result of, not the driver towards, higher competitiveness. Business models to exploit the potential of locally available resources, both human work and skills and such physical assets as buildings and equipment (manufactured capital) will boost local economic opportunities, many of which are already competitive with global manufacturing activities.

The trend to a circular economy is further strengthened by business models built on retained ownership, such as

- operational leasing by manufacturers, selling goods as services (e.g. Xerox selling customer satisfaction);
- public procurement based on buying services instead of hardware (e.g. NASA buying launch services from Space X instead of owning its own space shuttle; and
- fleet managers such as railways and airlines exploiting hardware to sell (mobility) services.

These business models of a functional service economy are in many fields becoming the preferred option; witness the rapid rise of operational leasing and Private Finance Initiatives (PFI) for capital goods and infrastructure, and sharing schemes for consumer goods, such as rental and car sharing business models (substituting car sales).

Selling the performance of goods instead of the goods themselves involves:3

- internalisation of the costs of waste and of risk: which in the traditional production-consumption-waste society are externalised to the state (waste) and the customer (risk),
- reduction of waste volumes as well as public expenses and an increase of disposable consumer incomes,
- maximisation of product durability a modular system design for goods based on component standardisation and ease of maintenance, and
- profit maximisation: creation of an in-house circular economy by manufacturers and fleet managers to maximise profits.

Economic actors selling performance instead of goods will promote sufficiency and prevention for selfish reasons, because it increases their economic profits.

Technological progress accelerates in a circular economy because manufacturing and reuse activities are symbiotic. Marginal progress will be faster and more efficiently integrated into existing goods through technological upgrading services and retrofits. Quantum leaps in technology, however, will continue be launched in new goods and components, ensuring that society draws the highest profits from innovations. The circular economy is thus complementing manufacturing, not replacing it.

Today’s economic policies, which are still based on the logic of the industrial revolution to increase throughput in order to increase GDP, give neither incentives nor rewards for the prevention of waste and emissions and sufficiency solutions. The Kyoto Protocol, for instance, gives rewards for the reduction of GHG emissions of major polluters of the industrial economy but not for the prevention of the same emissions in a circular economy.

Designing and implementing a tax system which supports and incentivises sustainable solutions aiming to increase efficiency, sufficiency and prevention may be the biggest challenge for policymakers this century.

Designing and implementing a tax system which supports and incentivises sustainable solutions aiming to increase efficiency, sufficiency and prevention may be the biggest challenge for the policymakers of this century. Incentives for prevention have been used in the field of health and safety but not in the field of resource efficiency and emissions into the environment.

The impact of not taxing human labour on resource efficiency

In industrialised countries, taxing the consumption of non-renewable resources and such undesirable results of the economic activity as emissions and waste, instead of labour, will give economic actors clear and powerful

---

incentives to design more sustainable business models. Looking at the different pillars of sustainability shows:

**The resource (environment) angle**

If the public moneys spent in the 2010 cash-for-clunkers initiatives in over 20 countries had been used to remanufacture and technologically upgrade, or replace, the used engines, a similar result for the CO₂ emissions would have been achieved with:

- billions of tons of new material resources not consumed, and related environmental impairment in the mining industry (the “rucksacks”) prevented,
- millions of tons of new energy resources and related embodied GHG emissions avoided (by reusing the embodied energy),
- millions of tons of waste (and related environmental impairment in recycling) prevented,
- billions of tons of water consumption in manufacturing avoided (by reusing the embodied water), and
- thousands of skilled jobs in local and regional workshops maintained.

Similar results have been shown in research on the remanufacturing and technological upgrading of the first generations of the high-speed trains, the refurbishment of major buildings and the remanufacturing of commercial aircraft.

**The social angle**

Employment is at the heart of the social pillar of sustainability. Human labour is the most versatile and adaptable of all resources, with a strong but perishable qualitative edge:

- It is the only resource capable of creativity and with the capacity to produce innovative solutions.
- Human skills deteriorate if unused – continuity of work and continued learning are necessary to maintain and upgrade skills. A person who has been unemployed for a few years risks becoming unemployable.

Governments should give priority to human labour in resource use because a barrel of oil or a ton of coal left in the soil for another decade will not deteriorate, nor will it demand social welfare.

Furthermore, labour is a zero-carbon energy. Human CO₂ emissions are the same for working and unemployed people.

**The government angle**

Economic success does not depend on income taxes. Florida and Texas, the new powerhouses of the U.S. economy, do not tax labour income; other nations and states have economic problems despite heavily taxing human labour.

People at work are a desire for nation states. Governments invest on average ten years in education and vocational training to teach young people marketable skills, and unemployment-wasted human resources-represent a high cost to governments and a lost opportunity for the national economy.

**Governments invest on average ten years in education and vocational training to teach young people marketable skills, and unemployment-wasted resources present another high cost in terms of welfare and a lost opportunity for the national economy.**

Not taxing human labour would also greatly reduce incentives for black work in the shadow economy, accounting for a double-digit percentage of many national GNP.

**The economic angle: skills, stock, utilisation value, productive work**

The circular economy is a high-quality world: Stradivari instruments and expensive watches do not live forever by design, but through periodic remanufacturing; retrofitting infrastructure and equipment is best done by workers beyond retirement with the knowledge and know-how of past technologies and skills necessary to maintain performances and value of physical assets.

Equally important for the circular economy are stock statistics. Quantity and quality of the existing stock of manufactured capital are largely unknown, Input-Output models for stock inexistent. Basic statistical tools for stock would greatly improve the overall efficiency of the circular economy.
The utilisation value of the circular economy will replace exchange value as central notion of economic value. This will necessitate changes in legal structures, such as liability insurance which today is based on depreciated values, which are independent of the real of goods involved in claims. Replacing depreciated value by replacement value (that is the market value corresponding to the actual quality and use value of the goods) would correspond to the philosophy of a circular economy and promote reuse and repair activities.

Productive work in economic theory is limited to manufacturing and goods that can be resold. In this way, a surgeon operating on a patient’s leg is classified as useful work; whereas a violinist virtuoso may be neither productive nor useful. In the new circular economy, value-preserving work in a low-carbon resource-miser context will become the truly productive work.

Conclusion

The industrial economy of the future will have to be more resource efficient, using considerably less non-renewable resources and producing less waste. A circular economy is a big step in this direction as it uses more labour and little material and energy inputs to achieve wealth.

The transition towards a circular economy has started. China has even made the circular economy the centre of its economic policy. But adapting framework conditions including taxation to sustainability, such as not taxing renewable resources, lags behind.

The financial services industry needs to accept the challenges of a change in focus to managing stocks instead of production flows, and exploit the chances offered by economic actors selling goods as services, internalising the costs of risk and of waste and retaining the ownership of goods and resources.

In the past, reuse and service-life extension were often strategies in situations of scarcity or poverty and had the image of inferior quality. Today, they are signs of good resource husbandry and smart management.

The change to a circular economy will be accelerated by not taxing labour as a renewable resource, and increasing taxes on non-renewable resource instead!
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