

Welcome to this lecture from the Insurance Institute of London



CII CPD event accredited - demonstrates the quality of an event and that it meets CII/PFS member CPD scheme requirements.

This lecture and podcast count as 45 minutes of CPD and can be included as part of your CPD requirement should you consider it relevant to your professional development needs. It is recommended that you keep any evidence of the CPD activity you have completed and upload copies to the recording tool as the CII may ask to see this if your record is selected for review.

INSURANCE CONTRACTS ACT 2015

- Last in series of new acts from Law
 Commissions' insurance law reform project:-
 - 1. Third Party (Rights against Insurers) Act 2010
 - 2. Consumer Insurance(Disclosure and Representations) Act 2012
 - 3. Insurance Act 2015
- All based on extensive market consultation.
- All widely supported. Reflect best practice.
- All passed through Law Commission procedure for uncontroversial bills.
- All UK wide.

KEY REFORM TOPICS

- Pre-contract duty of disclosure new duty of "fair presentation".
- Proportionate remedies for breach.
- Basis of contract clauses abolished.
- New remedies for breach of warranty.
- "Irrelevant" risk mitigation terms ineffective.
- Clarifies insurer's remedies for fraud.
- Implements 2010 Third Party Rights Act.
- Is default scheme parties can contract on different terms.

DUTY OF FAIR PRESENTATION (1)

- Question of substance and form.
- Insured must:-
 - 1. Disclose all "material circumstances" knows or ought to know or
 - 2. Provide sufficient information to put underwriter on notice to ask further questions.
- Insured may not "data dump".
- Insured ought to know what its senior management, persons arranging insurance e.g. (broker/risk manager) knows and what would reasonably have been revealed by reasonable search.

DUTY OF FAIR PRESENTATION (2)

- Insured does not have to disclose a circumstance if insurer knows it, ought to know it or is presumed to know it. That means:-
- Insurer knows what is known to underwriters/agents (not senior management).
- Insurer ought to know what should have been passed on to underwriters or what it holds in its systems provided "readily available".
- Insurer presumed to know common knowledge and what underwriters writing that class of business should know.

INSURERS' REMEDIES

- "Deliberate or reckless breach" insurer can avoid policy and keep premium.
- All other breaches:-
 - a) If the insurer would have imposed additional terms/additional limits – these are imposed from inception and /or
 - b) If the insurer would have charged a higher premium claim is reduced pro-rata (average).
- NB. No "innocent non-disclosure".

WARRANTIES AND SIMILAR TERMS

- Basis clauses abolished cannot contract out.
- Warranty becomes suspensive condition cover is suspended but breach can be remedied and cover restored.
- Insurer cannot rely on warranty or other risk mitigation term if insured shows non-compliance "would not have increased the risk of the loss which actually occurred in the circumstances in which it occurred".
- Does not apply to a term which defines risk as a whole.

INSURERS' REMEDIES FOR FRAUD

- Breach of utmost good faith avoidance only.
 Courts not follow.
- New remedy forfeiture of entire claim.
- Insurer not liable to pay claim including any honest part.
- Insurer remains liable to pay previous genuine claims.
- Insurer has option to terminate policy from date of fraud.
- Insurer can keep premium.
- Codifies current law.

CONTRACTING OUT

- Cannot contract out of basis clause provision or if policyholder is consumer (warranties/fraud) unless favourable to consumer.
- If a term places a business policyholder in a worse position then insurers must:
 - take sufficient steps to bring term to policyholder's attention and
 - > ensure term is clear and unambiguous.
- Flexible test. More required for SME/direct sales than for broked or sophisticated market.

QUESTIONS FOR UNDERWRITERS

- Do we want to contract out?
 - If so for what and when? There is some cost as need to bring to attention of policyholder and draft clear terms.
- Fair presentation. Opportunity to agree what information required by class/by policyholder.
- Training. Will underwriters ask necessary questions? Do they have at least average knowledge for class underwriting?
- Knowledge of agents (surveyors/loss adjusters) is information which should reasonably be passed to underwriter actually being passed? Claims department?

QUESTIONS FOR UNDERWRITERS

- Systems. What information is held? Can it be accessed? Legacy system problems will not attract sympathy in future.
- Remedies evidence of what would have done.
- Warranties are they needed? Act imposes limitations and potential litigation.
- Use exclusion clauses?
- Opportune time to review policy wordings.

QUESTIONS FOR POLICYHOLDERS AND BROKERS

- Requirement for reasonable search is there an adequate process? Board involvement?
- Complex presentation requires signposting no data dumps.
- Must answer questions correctly check information given to underwriter (many do not).
- "Expectations and beliefs" must be in good faith –
 evidence to support statements?
- Broker not obliged to disclose confidential information from unconnected party – is that understood?
- Will this lead to policyholders placing more value on insurance? Opportunity and threat for broker.



Feedback

Please take a moment to complete this feedback form. Your comments will enable us to ensure that future CPD activities satisfy the needs and objectives of our members.

Scan this QR code with the QR reader on your phone to access the survey.

